#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

### **PCT**

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis 1(c))

FENSTER Paul FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 2002 LTD. P. O. Box 10256 49002 Petach Tikva ISRAĒL

Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference

29 December 2005 (29.12.2005)

227/04056

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000483

International filing date (day/month/yeur) 08 June 2004 (08.06.2004)

Priority date (duy/month/year) 09 June 2003 (09.06,2003)

Applicant

GLUCON INC. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

> RECEIVED Docketed By ... 09 JAN 2006

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colomboues 1211 Genova 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

Pacsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 71 30

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter 1 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 227/04056	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/IL2004/000483	International filing date (day/month/year) 08 June 2004 (08.06.2004)	Priority date (day/moruh/year) 09 June 2003 (09.06.2003) ]	
International Patent Classification (I	PC) or national classification and IPC		
Applicant GLUCON INC.			

1.	This international preliminary International Searching Author	report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the fity under Rule $44$ bit. 1(a).
2.	This REPORT consists of a tot	al of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any refe to the international preliminary	rence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3,	This report contains indication	s relating to the following items:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. [V	. Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.	The International Bureau will c not, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Roles 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority

100	Date of issuance of this report 13 December 2005 (13.12.2005)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Simin Baharlou
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 71 30
Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)	

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY



To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(daymonthiyear) see form PCT/SA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000483 International filing date (day/month/year) 08 06:2004 Priority date (day/month/year) 09.06.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61B5/00

Applicant

GLUCON INC.

- 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
  - Box No. I Basis of the opinion
  - ⊠ Box No. II Priorfty
  - M Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
  - Box No. IV Lack of unity of Invention
  - Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial
    - applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
  - Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- - 2 FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the international Preliminary Examining Authority (TPEAT). However, it is does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Pule 66.1b(s(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to automate to the IPEA a written replic together, where appropriate, with amendentia, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/SAZ20 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expirate late.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



---

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel, +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Office

Rivera Pons, C

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-6063



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

4. Additional comments:

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000483

_	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	laı	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search doef Fulues 1.2 and 23.1(0)).
2.	With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b, form	at of material:
		in written format
		In computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the International application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence, listing and or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent for additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as proportiate, were furnished.

#### Box No. II Priority

- 1. A The following document has not been furnished:

  - ☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

- 2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43b/s.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
- 3. It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

generalisation to the contract of the contract

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

POTARA / 207 / January 2004

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000483

	x No. III Non-establishment plicability	of op	pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
Th	e questions whether the claimed vious), or to be industrially applic	l inve	intion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international applica	tion,	
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 19-48		
be	cause:		
	the said international application does not require an internation	on, or al pre	the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which eliminary examination (specify):
	the description, claims or draw unclear that no meaningful opi	ings nion (	(Indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.	are s	so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion
×	no international search report i	nas b	een established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 19-48
	the nucleotide and/or amino ac C of the Administrative Instruct	id se tions	quence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex in that:
	the written form		has not been furnished
			does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished
			does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	andor amino acid sequence listing; if in computer readable form only; do •• •• ements provided for in Annex C- <i>bis</i> of the Administrative Instructions.
	See separate sheet for further	detai	is .

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000483

Box No. I	V Lack of unity of I	nvention	1							_
1. ⊠ in res	ponse to the invitation	(Form P	CT/ISA/20	6) to pay ac	dditional	fees, the	applicar	nt has:		_
	paid additional fees.									
	paid additional fees	under pn	otest.							
⊠	not paid additional fe	es.								
2. ☐ This / the ap	Authority found that the pplicant to pay addition	requirer al fees.	ment of un	ity of invent	tion is no	t complie	ed with a	nd chos	e not to inv	te
3. This Autho	ority considers that the	requiren	nent of uni	ity of inventi	ion in acc	cordance	with Ru	le 13.1,	13.2 and 1	.3 i
□ complie	ed with									
⊠ not con	plied with for the follo	wing rea	sons:							
see s	eparate sheet									
4. Conseque	•	en estab	lished in r	espect of th	e followi	ng parts	of the int	emation	al applicati	n;
<ol> <li>Conseque</li> <li>all parts</li> </ol>	ntly, this report has be	en estab	dished in r	espect of th	e followi	ng parts	of the int	emation	al applicati	n:
☐ all parts	ntly, this report has be		dished in n	espect of th	e followi	ng parts	of the int	emation	al applicati	n;
☐ all parts	ntly, this report has be		dished in n	espect of th	e followi	ng parts	of the int	emation	al applicati	n;
☐ all parts ☑ the part	ntly, this report has be	os. 1-18	r Rule 42	hie 1/a\m	with ma	and to no				on:
☐ all parts ☑ the part ☑ the part Box No. V industrial	ntly, this report has be	ent unde	er Rule 43 xplanatio	bis.1(a)(i) ns support	with rega	ard to no	ovelty, in	nventive	step or	
☐ all parts ☑ the part ☑ the part Box No. V industrial	ntity, this report has be a see relating to claims No Reasoned statem applicability; citation	ent understand ex	er Rule 43 xplanatio	bis.1(a)(i) ns support	with rega	ard to no	ovelty, in	nventive	step or	
Box No. V industrial	ntty, this report has be	ent unders and expressions. 1-18 Yes: No: Yes:	er Rule 43 xplanation	bis.1(a)(i) on support	with rega	ard to no	ovelty, in	nventive	step or	
Box No. V Box No. V Statement Novelty (N)	ntty, this report has be	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	er Rule 43 explanation Claims Claims Claims	bis.1(a)(i) on support	with rega	ard to no	ovelty, in	nventive	step or	
□ all parts  □ the part  □ th	Reasoned statem applicability; citation	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Pr Rule 43 xplanation Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-18	with rega	ard to no	ovelty, in	nventive	step or	

#### Re Item III

No opinion is given about claims 19-48 because no search report was Issued on these claims.

#### Be Item IV.

The separate inventions/groups of inventions are:

- 1. 1-18
  - Apparatus for blood photoacoustic analysis determining the alignment of the device with the blood vessel.
- 2. 19-48
  - Apparatus for blood photoacoustic analysis determining the excessive pressure of the device upon the blood vessel.

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons:

The common technical features in claim 1 on one side and claim 19 on the other are listed below. This special technical features are not new, because they are disclosed by the prior art document US.6.403,944 (see citations in parentheses)

Apparatus for assaying an analyte of blood in a patient's blood vessel comprising (abstract, claim 1):

- a mounting module adapted so that it can be adhered to the skin of the patient overlying a tissue region comprising a blood vessel (figures 6, 8a, 8b; column 8, lines 41-58, claim 1);
- a sensor unit mounted to the module that generates signals responsive to characteristics of the tissue region (abstract and column 8, lines 42-45; claim 1); and
- a controller that receives the signals and uses received signals to assay the analyte (claim 1)

Furthermore, both subject-matters solve different problems, namely, determining the alignment and the pressure with respect to the blood vessel respectively.

Therefore, the two groups of inventions cannot be considered to have a common single

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IL2004/000483

inventive concept.

As a consequence, claims 1 and 19 are non unitary according to the Rule 13.1 and 13.2 PCT.

#### Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
  - D1: US 6 403 944 B1 (MACKENZIE HUGH ALEXANDER ET AL) 11 June 2002 (2002-06-11)
  - D2: EP 1 205 753 A (ABBOTT LAB) 15 May 2002 (2002-05-15)
  - D3: EP 0 919 180 A (TRW INC) 2 June 1999 (1999-06-02)
  - D4: WO 02/15776 A (BEN AMI UDI; NAGAR RON (IL); PESACH BENNY (IL); GLUCON INC (US)) 28 February 2002 (2002-02-28)
- 2 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

Apparatus for assaying an analyte of blood in a patient's blood vessel comprising (abstract, claim 1):

- overlying a tissue region comprising a blood vessel (figures 6, 8a, 8b; column 8, lines 41-58, claim 1);
  - a sensor unit mounted to the module that generates signals responsive to characteristics of the tissue region (abstract and column 8, lines 42-45; claim 1);
     and
  - a controller that receives the signals and uses received signals to assay the analyte (claim 1)

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that:

the controller determines a degree to which the sensor unit is aligned with the blood vessel.

2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT) The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IL2004/000483

To monitor the accuracy of the analysis results of the device with regard to the alignment.

2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

None of the prior art documents discloses nor suggests a controller determining the alignment of the sensor with the blood vessel, disclosing the influence of this alignment.

2.3 Claims 2-18 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.